

Smarter Systems for High Performance Computing HPC Linux Financial Markets - April 4, 2011

Jean Staten Healy Director, Cross-IBM Linux IBM





Agenda

Watson Overview

Panelist Presentations

- -Edward Epstein, IBM Research WATSON
- -Tom Befi, Insurance Services Office
- -Doug Beary, Datatrend Technologies
- -Vikram Mehta, IBM System Networking

Panelist Q&A



Today's Panelists



Edward Epstein WATSON - Manager of Unstructured Information IBM Research



Tom Befi Vice President of Information Systems Services Insurance Services Office, Inc.



Douglas Louis Beary Account Executive, High Performance Computing Datatrend Technologies, Inc.



Vikram Mehta Vice President of Systems Marketing IBM Systems & Technology Group



Watson Overview

Video - IBM Watson a System Designed for Answers



Edward Epstein WATSON - Manager of Unstructured Information IBM Research





www.ibmwatson.com

Watson and HPC

IBM Research





IBM

The Jeopardy! Challenge: A compelling and notable way to drive and measure the technology of automatic Question Answering along 5 Key Dimensions



The Big Idea: Evidence-Based Reasoning over Natural Language Content

- **Deep Analysis** of questions AND content
- Search for many possible answers based on different interpretations of question
- For each answer find, analyze and score EVIDENCE from many different sources using many advanced NLP and reasoning algorithms
- Combine scores and compute an accurate confidence value for each possibility using statistical machine learning

IBM Research DeepQA: The Technology Behind Watson



Massively Parallel Probabilistic Evidence-Based Architecture

Generates and scores many hypotheses using a combination of 1000's **Natural Language Processing**, **Information Retrieval**, **Machine Learning** and **Reasoning Algorithms**. These gather, evaluate, weigh and balance different types of **evidence** to deliver the answer with the best support it can find.



© 2011 IBM Corporation

IBM Research DeepQA: The Technology Behind Watson



Massively Parallel Probabilistic Evidence-Based Architecture

Generates and scores many hypotheses using a combination of 1000's **Natural Language Processing**, **Information Retrieval**, **Machine Learning** and **Reasoning Algorithms**. These gather, evaluate, weigh and balance different types of **evidence** to deliver the answer with the best support it can find.





Begin work on an Interactive System

- Starting point: 2 hours to process a single question
- Why was my team chosen for this work?
 - -Core UIMA development team
 - -Apache UIMA is heavily used for Watson analytics
 - Solves Interoperability
 - Solves Results Organization and Management
 - -Previous 3 years had focused on UIMA scale out
 - -Software engineers with history of optimizing complex analytics



Apache UIMA

- Open-source framework and tools for building NLP applications
- Key Concepts
 - Common Analysis Structure (CAS): Container for Inputs & Outputs in user-defined data model
 - Annotator: Pluggable component (Java or C++, among others) that reads and writes a CAS
 - Aggregate Analysis Engine: Collection of Annotators





Initial Scale Out Effort

- Move everything into RAM
- Scale out components with UIMA-AS
- Distribute search

Selected Watson Processes







Characteristics of Watson Application

~200 Java processes

- -Most with 30 GB Heaps
- -Some with 10s of GB in filesystem buffers
- ~200 C++ processes
 - -2 GB resident



After first 8 months of Scale Out Work ...

First Scaled Out System





4 more months of Scale Out Work ...

- Pre-compute deep NLP analysis of entire text corpus
- Hammer on every computation outlier



T4 - Live end-to-end



Let the Games Begin

- Test against internal contestants
- Demo to JPI
- Begin "Sparring" matches with former Jeopardy! contestants



Next 12 Months

- Improve Accuracy
 - -Add missing analytic components
 - -Add new analytic components
 - -More than double the knowledge source
- Further speed improvements
 - -Migrate production system to Power 750 servers
- Merge development and production source code
- Final Sparring matches against Tournament players



Power 750 is a Good Fit for Watson

- High performance CPUs
 - -Essential to meet speed requirements
- 32 real CPU cores per node
 –Far fewer nodes needed
- Large shared memory per node
 - -More flexibility (e.g. very large memory training tasks)
- High memory bandwidth
 - -Enabled full CPU utilization



Precision, Confidence & Speed

Deep Analytics – We achieved champion-levels of Precision and Confidence over a huge variety of expression



- Speed By optimizing Watson's computation for Jeopardy! on POWER7 processing cores we reduced average answering time below 3 seconds – fast enough to compete with the best.
- Results in 55 real-time sparring games against former Tournament of Champion Players last year, Watson put on a very competitive performance in all games, winning 71% of the them!





THANK YOU

© 2011 IBM Corporation



Watson for Financial Markets

Video - Perspectives on Watson: Finance



Tom Befi

Vice President of Information Systems Services Insurance Services Office, Inc.







ISO Z/Linux Experience



Who am I?

- Vice President Information Systems Services for ISO
 - Infrastructure
 - Data Center Operations
 - Systems Programming (Mainframe/Distributed)
 - Network Engineering (voice/data telecom)
 - Desktop Computing Environment
 - Infrastructure Architecture
 - Technical Support
 - Internal Technical Help Desk
 - Information Center

Who We Are and What We Do

- Verisk Analytics provides Data, Analytics and Decision support products across multiple vertical markets in and around risk mitigation
 - ISO is a member company of Verisk Analytics that operates in the P&C Insurance vertical



Our Brands





THE SCIENCE OF RISKSM

ISO Environment

- Historically a Mainframe Shop
- 2 Mainframes (z9 and a z196)
- 250 Suse Linux Servers on 7 IFL's
- 700 Distributed Servers
- 14 Million lines of Cobol
- CICS/DB2/MQ/Model204
- VB / Visual Studio

Address the Issues

Business Issues (Circa 2003)

- High Cost of Ownership on
 Servers Due to:
 - Short support half life
 - Complexity
 - Environmental (sprawl, DR)
 - Application
 - Little re-usability
- Security Concerns

 Hackers/Virus Target
- Availability and Reliability

Strategic Direction

- Technical realignment to J ava
- Developing foundation architecture
- Build reusable
 Frameworks
- Shifting from point solutions to Enterprisewide Development
- Consolidated Deployment on the mainframe

The Whys?

Why JAVA?

- Platform Longevity
- Merry-go-Round
- 99 Person Years every 3-4 years
- Portability
- Platform Independence
- Open Source (Where applicable)
- Cobol Resource Issue
- Cobol not taught in most colleges
- Boomers retiring

Why Websphere?

- Best of Breed at the time & still is
- Supportable (IBM)
- Multi-Platform Support
- Leverage with IBM (Single Vendor)

Why Mainframe?

- Virus Attacks
- Security Vulnerabilities
- Availability, Reliability and Scalability
- Better Utilization of Hardware
- Simplify environment
 - Less tiers
 - Disaster Recovery
 - Better virtualization
- Economy of Scale
 - Less systems support staff required

Expected Benefits

- Eliminate Technology Complexity
 - TIE (Tolerate/Integrate/Eliminate)
 - 🛛 Java
 - DB/2 / Websphere / MQ
- Eliminate Software Development Fragmentation
 - □ Architecture
 - Alignment
 - □ Re-Use (Enterprise Frameworks)
 - Eliminate Server Sprawl
 - □ Scalability/Reliability/Manage-ability
 - Better Security and protection (virus/hacker)
 - □ Less complexity (especially for DR)
 - □ More efficient utilization of hardware (Ex: Virtualization)

Achieved Benefits

Eliminate Technology Complexity (TIE (Tolerate/Integrate/Eliminate)

Java

DB/2 / Websphere / MQ

Eliminate Software Development Fragmentation

Alignment

Re-Use (Enterprise Frameworks)

Eliminate Server Sprawl
 Scalability/Reliability/Manage-ability
 Better Security and protection (virus/hacker)
 Less complexity (especially for DR)
 More efficient utilization of hardware

Resource utilization increase on the mainframe commensurate with server consolidation

z/OS Growth Curve Analysis

Issues:

- Utilization growth
 above what was expected
 - Corresponding expense growth especially nonrelevant 3rd party software

Actions:

- Worked with IBM
- Application
 Efficiency
- System Tuning
- Various platform alternatives reviewed
- Decision: Migrate to z/Linux

Why z/Linux?

- Lower Cost
 - z/Linux software/hardware less expensive than z/OS
 - Put off z/OS upgrades (cost avoidance)
- Environmental Simplification
 - Simpler Allocation model
 - More flexible architecture
 - Easy/Quick to build additional environments
 - Simpler Disaster Recovery
- Better use of environment
 - Full use of H/W
 - Platform Independence
 - Unix Sys Admins instead of z/OS Sys Progs

Questions?

4



THE SCIENCE OF RISKSM



Thank You

Visit us online at www.aer.com www.air-worldwide.com www.hcinsight.com www.iix.com www.iso.com www.veriskhealth.com www.xactware.com



Douglas Beary

Datatrend Technologies, Inc. Account Executive, High-Performance Computing



Smarter Systems for Financial HPC

Breaking through latency barriers in high-frequency trading....

And other innovative IBM *i* technology applications.



Doug Beary Account Executive High Performance Computing Direct 919.961.4777 Raleigh, NC doug.beary@datatrend.com





www.datatrend.com

Datatrend Snapshot





www.datatrend.com

Top Performing Solution Provider

taking IT beyond

Recognized as a top solution provider by customers and partners, including:



www.datatrend.com



OVERALL RESULTS

Publisher	Consumer	Maximum throughput (aggregate)	Average latency	99.9% latency	99.999% latency
Constant rate	Single consumer	100,000 msg/s	0.8 μs	2.0 μs	38 µs
(100,000 msg/s) transport latency	10 consumers	1,000,000 msg/s	2.0 μs	3.5 μ s	25 μs
Arrowhead Feed	Single Consumer	3,398 msg/s	31.8 μs	95 μs	217 μs
(5,000 packets/s) platform latency	6 Consumers	22,237 msg/s	34.9 μs	119 μ s	243 μs
Arrowhead Feed	Single Consumer	13,144 msg/s	29.5 μs	126 μs	136 µs
(25,000 packets/s) platform latency	6 Consumers	85,588 msg/s	29.9 μs	98 µs	179 μs



MAX5

Maximum memory scaling independent of processors



eXFlash

Extreme I/O Operations Solid State Drive storage



Server Virtualization - Inside Out



Subset of the physical resource





Concatenation of physical resources





Large Memory Workloads

Maximize Memory Minimize Cost Simplify Deployment



Up to 160 Gbps Interconnect to Each Node

Up to 128 Nodes, 8192 Cores, 16,384 Threads, 64TB RAM One System Image

Workloads for Large VMs

- Large memory workloads
 - Few cores (might be of single node), memory span across nodes
- I/O intensive workloads
 - Few cores (might be of single node), I/O span across nodes
 - Memory as a buffer
- CPU demanding workloads, requiring shared-memory
 - Threaded applications (OpenMP, Pthreads)
- Throughput workloads or multiprocess with some communication (simplicity of execution)
 - MPI, <u>algorithmic trading</u>, etc.



Example: x3850 X5 •512 Cores •24.5TB Memory •One OS



Vikram Mehta

Vice President of Systems Marketing IBM Systems and Technology Group



"Speed doesn't kill, being slow does"



Why?











IBM BNT RackSwitch G8264: Proven Performance

O



Note: Switch protopolitaned/trompublics ourses in November, 2010. Proc. calculated tased on 1991 BNI GK64-463006L and 44006E Switch, Ansta 484xt 7-46X 10GbESwitch, GscoNeau SS491RUChasis 29S2Fan 32 Ext 10GE withNeau SS00Module 16P10GE, and Uniper EX45004040xt 10GSPF+ Switch withNov 44Port 10G SPF+ Upinks and one 1200W XC Power Supply Performance based on theoretical maxthroughput for all switches evaluated. See Bolk 1 for details. Source Tolly, February 2011 Figure 1

Line-rate 40G with sub-microsecond latency



Line-rate with up to 11.5x lower latency



Up to 71% less power consumption



Source: Tolly Group Competitive Performance Evaluation, #211108, March 2011

© 2011 IBM Corporation

HFT Messaging Solution: IBM BNT G8264 and WMQ LLM

STAC-M2 Benchmark™ BASELINE Test Comparison (1 Producer, 5 Consumers)	Avg (µs)	Max (µs)	Std Dev (μs)	Highest Rate (Msg / Sec)
IBM LLM / IBM-BNT 8264 / Solarflare w/ OpenOnload	9	21	0	1.5 Million
29W LBM / Cisco N5010 / Solarflare w/ OpenOnload	14	33	1	1.3 Million
29W LBM / Cisco 4900M / Solarflare w/ OpenOnload	15	30	1	1.3 Million

- IBM BNT RackSwitch G8264 with LLM delivers the best (STAC[™] Published) 10 GbE performance
 - Extremely Low Mean Latency 9 µSec
 - Deterministic Performance Near Zero Jitter
 - Highest Supply Rate 1.5 Million msg / sec

WebSphere MQ LLM		
Red Hat Enterprise Linux		
X-series 3650 M3 w/ Intel Xeon		
Mellanox	Solarflare w/	
CX-2 w/ RoCEE	OpenOnload	
IBM BNT RackSwitch G8264		

- IBM and its partners have demonstrated ultra low latency messaging solutions. IBM Offers:
 - IBM BNT G8264 10 / 40 GbE High Perf switch
 - IBM WebSphere MQ Low Latency Messaging
 - Choice of High Performance Network Adapters
 - Mellanox ConnectX-2
 - Solarflare 10GbE w/ OpenOnload
 - High Performance X-series servers



Reflector Test Latency: IBM BNT RackSwitch G8264 and WMQ LLM

LLM Latency using IBM BNT G8264 10/40 GbE and <mark>Solarflare</mark> SFN5122F OpenOnload				
Msg Rate [msgs/sec]	Single	RTT		
	Average [µsec]	99P [µsec]	Std Dev [µsec]	
10,000	5.95	6.5	0.80	
100,000	6.24	6.5	0.83	
1,000,000	8.72	10.5	1.43	

LLM Latency using IBM BNT G8264 10/40 GbE and Mellanox CX-2 RoCEE				
Msg Rate	Singl	RTT		
[msgs/sec]	Average [µsec]	99P [µsec]	Std Dev [µsec]	
10,000	3.6	4.5	0.7	
100,000	3.6	4.5	0.9	
1,000,000	4.3	5.5	2.2	

Additional IBM Reflector Tests show a 10GbE solution from IBM and its partners delivers extremely low latency performance that scales to very high message rates.



Are you next?

•65% of sell-side firms are in the process of upgrading to 10GE for their US equity business; 13% are fully converted.

- TABB Group 2010





Panelist Q & A



Legal

© Copyright IBM Corporation 2011

IBM Corporation New Orchard Road Armonk, NY 10504 U.S.A.

Produced in the United States of America All Rights Reserved

IBM, the IBM logo and ibm.com are trademarks or registered trademarks of International Business Machines Corporation in the United States, other countries, or both. If these and other IBM trademarked terms are marked on their first occurrence in this information with a trademark symbol (® or ™), these symbols indicate U.S. registered or common law trademarks owned by IBM at the time this information was published. Such trademarks may also be registered or common law trademarks is available on the Web at "Copyright and trademark information" at www.ibm.com/legal/copytrade.shtml.

Intel, Intel logo, Intel Inside, Intel Inside logo, Intel Centrino, Intel Centrino logo, Celeron, Intel Xeon, Intel SpeedStep, Itanium, and Pentium are trademarks or registered trademarks of Intel Corporation or its subsidiaries in the United States and other countries.

Linux is a registered trademark of Linus Torvalds in the United States, other countries, or both.

Microsoft, Windows, Windows NT, and the Windows logo are trademarks of Microsoft Corporation in the United States, other countries, or both.

UNIX is a registered trademark of The Open Group in the United States and other countries.

Other company, product and service names may be trademarks or service marks of other companies.

References in this publication to IBM products and services do not imply that IBM intends to make them available in all countries in which IBM operates.